Universida_{de}Vigo

Subject Guide 2020 / 2021

7111111								
IDENTIFY	NG DATA							
Sound Pro	ocessing							
Subject	Sound Processing							
Code	V05G300V01634							
Study	Degree in							
programm	e Telecommunications							
1 5	Technologies							
	Engineering - In							
	extinction							
Descriptor	s ECTS Credits		Choose	Year	Ouad	mester		
	6		Optional	3rd	1st			
Teaching	Snanish			514				
language	Spanish							
Departmer	nt							
Coordinato	r Bodríguez Banga, Eduardo							
Lecturers	Rodríguez Banga, Eduardo							
Email	orbanga@uvigo.oc							
<u>E-IIIdii</u>	http://fpitic.uvige.ec							
Canaral	This source describes the main techniques	of the cound	are coccine wit	h anasial amarka		nnligations		
General	This course describes the main techniques	these technic	processing, wit	n special empha	sis on real a			
description	different algorithms or systems depending	these techniq	ues and now th	ie same principie	es may give	rise to		
	course also makes an introduction to Space	ch Tachnalagi	as and their an	ess (speech of a		ance). This		
	course also makes an introduction to spee	ch rechnologi	es and their ap	plications.				
	-							
Competer	ncies							
Code								
B4 CG4:	The ability to solve problems with initiative,	to make creat	ive decisions a	nd to communic	ate and tran	smit		
know	edge and skills, understanding the ethical a	nd professiona	al responsibility	of the Technica	l Telecommu	inication		
Engin	eer activity.							
B6 CG6:	The aptitude to manage mandatory specification of the security of the specification of the security of the sec	ations, proced	ures and laws.					
C34 CE34/	SI1The ability to construct, exploit and man	age telecomm	unication servi	ces and applicat	ions, such as	s receiving,		
digita	l and analogical treatment, codification, trar	nsporting and	representation,	processing, stor	age, reprod	uction,		
mana	gement and presentation of audiovisual and	l multimedia ir	nformation serv	rices.				
C38 CE38/	SI5 The ability to create, modify, manage, b	roadcast and	distribute multi	media contents	taking into a	ccount the		
use a	nd accessibility criteria to audiovisual, broad	lcasting and ir	teractive servi	ces.				
D2 CT2 U	Inderstanding Engineering within a framewo	rk of sustainal	ole developmer	nt.				
Learning	outcomes							
Evpected r	esults from this subject			Train	ing and Lea	rning Results		
Understand	d come basis techniques for speech and aud					ming Results		
Understand	a some basic techniques for speech and aud	no processing.		D4	C34			
Davialanna	ant of basis spaceb and audia coders				<u> </u>			
Developme	ent of basic speech and audio coders.			B4	C34			
A	and and another a 10 at the 1 state of the	_			<u> </u>			
Analyse sp	eech and audio specifications and standards	5.		B4	C34	D2		
				B6	C38			
Understand	d some basic techniques used in Speech Tec	hnologies.		B4	C34			
					C38			
Ability to a	dapt learned techniques to other applicatior	าร.		B4		D2		
Contents								
Tonic								
· opic								

Speech coding	Waveform coding. Parametric coding. Hybrid coding. Standards. Applications.
Audio Coding	Main characteristics of an audio signal. Time-frequency analysis : filterbanks and transforms. Coding. Standards. Applications.
Speech Technologies	Recognition, Synthesis and related applications.

Planning					
	Class hours	Hours outside the classroom	Total hours		
Lecturing	21	42	63		
Practices through ICT	12	9	21		
Mentored work	7	57	64		
Problem and/or exercise solving	2	0	2		
*The information in the planning table is fo	r guidance only and does no	t take into account the het	erogeneity of the students.		

Methodologies			
	Description		
Lecturing	The teacher makes a presentation of some relevant contents of the subject. Some concepts may be illustrated by means of computer simulation. Students are encouraged to make questions and discuss some proposed problems and exercises. The main objective of these sessions is to provide the students with the theoretical background so that they can develop all the subject competences. Therefore, every subject competence is developed in these sessions		
Practices through ICT	Students will carry out computer simulations using Matlab, which will help them to better understand the concepts introduced in the theory sessions and to discover new ones. All the subject competences are developed in these sessions.		
Mentored work	The students will be grouped into teams which will develop one or several tasks proposed by the teacher. The number of students in a team will be established taking into account the number of students enrolled and the complexity of the proposed tasks. Each team work will be supervised by the teacher who, in addition to evaluate the team work, may establish procedures for self and cross evaluation. Tutored works are thought to develop CG4 and CG6 competences, as well as CE34, CE38 and CT2.		

Personalized assistance			
Methodologies	Description		
Practices through ICT	The teacher will establish mechanisms to determine the degree of understanding of the main concepts by the students.		
Mentored work	At the regular team meetings the teacher will track the work of each student. In addition , the teacher will establish additional mechanisms such as, for instance, cross-evaluation of the student work by his/her team mates.		

Assessment					
	Description	Qualification	Tra	aining	and
			Lear	ning R	esults
Mentored work	The evaluation of a team work will be done through the collection of evidences and/or tests during its developement, at personal and group levels, a final report and a presentation and/or test about the work. A final report will be delivered to the teacher around the 13th week of the teaching period. The precise date will be established at the beginning of this period.	50	B4 B6	C34 C38	D2
	work as explained in the section [Other comments on the evaluation].				
Problem and/or exercise solving	Final exam with several questions referred to the contents of the subject. In order to pass this course a minimum score will be required in the final exam as explained in the section []Other comments on the evaluation[].	50	B4 B6	C34 C38	D2

Other comments on the Evaluation

The previously proposed evaluation method will apply to students who follow the recommended continuous evaluation (C.E.) procedure. In order to not handicap his potential teammates, the student will have a brief period to decide whether or not follows the C.E. procedure (as an orientation, the first two weeks of the semester). Selecting C.E implies that the student will be graded in the first call. Students attending only the final exam may obtain the maximum grade in the subject. However, these students will have to answer some additional questions related to the proposed team works to demonstrate that they have acquired the same skills that students following C.E.

In exceptional cases, such as long-term justified reasons that unable to follow the C.E. procedure or to take essential assessment tests within the foreseen period, the teacher will decide whether or not it is appropriate to allow the student to change from C.E. to final-exam assessment or to consider him/her 'no show'.

The second call will consist of a final exam, but students who followed C.E. may choose to keep the grade obtained in the team works, as described below, instead of answering the additional questions related to these works. In extraordinary calls the evaluation procedure will be equal to the case of opting out C.E.

Students will pass the course if they get a final mark equal to or greater than 5 (on a ten-points scale) and a score equal to or greater than 4 (on the same scale) in both the tutored work and the final exam. The individual mark of the tutored work will be obtained as the sum of the mark of two individual tests (30% of the grade of the tutored work) and the mark obtained jointly by the group (70%), although the latter will be weighted according to the results of the cross-evaluations and the teacher's opinion about the student's personal contribution to the group work. Normally the weighting factor will be 1, although factors less than 1 will be applied to students that hinder the normal progress of the group or show poor participation or understanding in the tasks of the supervised work. Likewise, the teacher will be able to reward those students who stand out significantly for their contribution to the teamwork with a weighting factor of up to 1.2, especially in case of unexpected difficulties. In case of justified absence to any of the individual tests corresponding to the tutored work, the student may recover it by answering some additional questions in the first final exam (or the second one in case of justified absence to the first final exam (or the second one in case of justified absence to the first final exam).

The score obtained jointly by the group (70% of the tutored work mark) will be obtained from the evaluation of the reports corresponding to the tasks assigned and from a joint final presentation. Non-attendance to this presentation, except for a justified reason, will result in a zero as weighting factor. In case of justified absence, the student must contact his/her teacher as soon as possible to ask for an interview in which he/she will have to demonstrate his/her knowledge of the work carried out by the group.

Just in case a student has no grade on the tutored work, or chooses to leave it out at the second call, the score obtained in the group of questions related to the tutored work will be considered the grade on the tutored work and the score on the remaining questions will be the final-exam grade. The final grade will be calculated as the weighted average of the grades of the tutored work (weight 0.5) and the final exam (weight 0.5). These weights could be modified as described in the contingency plan. If a mark of 4 is not reached in both parts (tutored work and final exam) separately, the final grade will be 4 at most.

Students attending the second-call exam, with independence of the assessment track followed, will be able to choose, before starting the exam, to maintain the grade obtained in the first call in any of the two aforementioned parts if equal or higher than 4. Nevertheless they must be aware of the weight of the two parts in the final grade.

The solution to any possible inconsistency, discrepancy or difference of interpretation that may arise from this guide, as well as any error or any other not considered case, will be discussed between the teacher and the directly concerned students and, in case of no agreement, the matter will be referred to the competent higher bodies.

Sources of information	
Basic Bibliography	
Andreas Spanias, Ted Painter and Venkatraman Attii, Audio Signal Processing and Coding , 978-0-471-79147-8, Wiley 2007	Ι,
Wai C. Chu, Speech Coding Algorithms: Foundation and Evolution of Standardized Coders , 978-0-471-66887-9, Wiley, 2004	
Douglas O'Shaughnessy, Speech Communications. Human and Machine , 978-0780334496, Second edition, Wiley-IEI Press, 1999	EE
Boss, M. and Goldberg, R. E., Introduction to digital audio coding and standards , 978-1-4615-0327-9, Kluwer Acade Publishers, 2003	emic
an Vince McLoughlin, Speech and Audio Processing: A MATLAB Based Approach , 978-1-107-08546-6, Cambridge University Press, 2016	
Complementary Bibliography	
Dutoit, T. and Marqués F., Applied signal processing : a matlab-based proof of concept , 978-0-387-74535-0, Sprine 2009	ger,
Paul Taylor, Text-to-Speech Synthesis, 978-0521899277, Cambridge University Press, 2009	

Recommendations

Subjects that it is recommended to have taken before

Fundamentals of Sound and Image/V05G301V01209

Other comments

It is assumed that the student has some basic skills in Matlab.

Contingency plan

Description

In case of online teaching (A, B and C groups), it will take place in a synchronous mode.

All the assessment tests provided for in the teaching guide are face-to-face, either oral or written. If not possible, they will be held online.

Based on the experience accumulated during the confinement period in the previous academic year, the following paragraphs complete the initial contingency plan. However, given the unpredictability of the events, further adjustments could be applied to this initial plan.

The teacher will decide, depending on the circumstances and the number of students in the course, whether these tests will be taken orally and whether the group presentation of the tutored work will be done individually, representing in this case the 25% of the grade of the tutored work. If this change takes place, the grade obtained jointly by the group will represent 45% of the supervised work grade, although this part of the grade will still be affected by the weighting factor described in this teaching guide.

Depending on the circumstances, it is also not ruled out to modify the weighting of the tutored work and the final exam (for instance 60% and 40% respectively, instead of the initial 50% each) and/or reorder the evaluated contents. Obviously, the type of online tests/exams, especially if they are oral, may also affect the type of questions and exercises involved, as well as the possible use of support material.

As for the duration of the final exam when it is an oral test, as a guideline, it is planned that for students following continuous assessment the duration will be about 30 minutes, while for those who take the whole final exam the duration will be about 60-90 minutes.

Regarding the exam date, if oral, it will be kept as close as possible to the official examination date for students taking the whole exam, as it is expected that the number of these students will be small. In any case, these students will be contacted to confirm the date and approximate time. For students following C.E., shifts will be established for the oral exam, with the possibility of even starting before the beginning of the official examination period.