



## IDENTIFYING DATA

### Programming II

Subject	Programming II		
Code	V05G300V01302		
Study programme	Degree in Telecommunications Technologies Engineering		
Descriptors	ECTS Credits	Choose	Year
	6	Mandatory	2nd
Teaching language	Spanish		
Department			
Coordinator	Fernández Masaguer, Francisco		
Lecturers	Blanco Fernández, Yolanda Fernández Masaguer, Francisco		
E-mail	francisco.fernandez@det.uvigo.es		
Web	<a href="http://www.faitic.es">http://www.faitic.es</a>		

**General description** The general aim of this subject is to provide to the student the theoretical foundations and the practical competitions that allow him analyse, design, develop and debug computer applications following the paradigm oriented to objects. This is an essentially practical subject and in this sense is oriented to the work of the students in the realisation of one or several projects.

To facilitate the development of the projects, in the subject will realise firstly a very brief introduction to the discipline of Software Engineering , linking it with the paradigm of the object oriented programming (OOP) and restricting it only to the stages of analysis, design, implementation and debugging. Then we will analyse in detail the elements of OOP, using elements and UML diagrams that they will be used by the students in his developments.

To reach this general aim the contents that will be handled in the subject can be summarized in the following items:

- Basic concepts of Software Engineering.
- Basic concepts of object oriented programming: classes and objects
- Encapsulation. Hiding principle. Concepts of decoupling and cohesion
- Inheritance, abstraction, polymorphism and reuse
- Relations between classes: generalisation, association and dependency.
- Communication between objects: methods, events, messages.
- Persistence. Storage in files and in databases.
- Generation, capture and processing of exceptions.
- Introduction to the UML modeling language.

## Competencies

Code	
B6	CG6: The aptitude to manage mandatory specifications, procedures and laws.
B14	CG14 The ability to use software tools to search for information or bibliographical resources.
C50	(CE50/T18)The ability to develop, interpret and debug programs using basic concepts of Object Oriented Programming (OOP): classes and objects, encapsulation, relations among classes and objects, and inheritance.

C51 (CE51/T19) The ability of basic application of phases of analysis, design, implementation and debugging of OOP programs.

C52 (CE52/T20) The ability of manipulation of CASE tools (editors, debuggers).

C53 (CE53/T21) The ability of developing programs considering to the basic principles of software engineering quality taking into account the main existing sources of norms, standards and specifications.

### Learning outcomes

Expected results from this subject	Training and Learning Results	
	B14	C50
To understand the basic concepts of Object Oriented Programming (OOP).	B14	C50
To know the main UML diagrams for the documentation in the phases of analysis and design of programs according to the OOP.	B6 B14	C52 C53
To develop skills in the process of analysis, design, implementation and debugging of applications according to the OOP, taking into account the main standards and norms of quality.	B6 B14	C51 C53
To acquire maturity in techniques of development and debugging of programs to allow the autonomous learning of new skills and programming languages.	B6	C51 C52 C53

### Contents

Topic	
1. Introduction to the object oriented paradigm	a. Brief introduction to the subject and its organization. b. Birth of the paradigm c. Foundations: classes and objects d. Concepts of encapsulation, inheritance (generalization), and polymorphism e. Brief Introduction to UML
2. Encapsulation	a. Classes, interfaces and packages b. Methods and member variables. Visibility. Scope of resolution c. Constructor method d. Passing parameters: pointers and references e. Pointers to objects
3. Inheritance	a. Derived classes and types of inheritance b. Abstract Classes c. Multiple Inheritance d. Object class
4. Object oriented design	a. Design foundations b. Use of UML diagrams
5. Polymorphism	a. Overloading and overwriting b. Abstract classes and interfaces c. Generic classes
6. Exception handling	a. Exceptions foundations b. Handling of Java exceptions

### Planning

	Class hours	Hours outside the classroom	Total hours
Master Session	28	42	70
Troubleshooting and / or exercises	9	9	18
Autonomous troubleshooting and / or exercises	4	10	14
Case studies / analysis of situations	1	1	2
Projects	9	31	40
Case studies / analysis of situations	0	1	1
Troubleshooting and / or exercises	3	0	3
Practical tests, real task execution and / or simulated.	2	0	2

\*The information in the planning table is for guidance only and does not take into account the heterogeneity of the students.

### Methodologies

	Description
Master Session	Classes that will combine the explanation of the concepts involved in the subject and the performance of small exercises. These may be solved by the teacher or by the students, individually and/or in groups. The aim is to encourage debates in class and strengthen the acquisition of skills. Through this methodology the competencies CE50, CE51 and CE53 are developed.

Troubleshooting and / or exercises	In the laboratory, the teacher will pose small challenges to be solved collectively so that the underlying concepts and the different options of resolution can be discussed, and to provide students with the skills object of the subject. Through this methodology the competencies CE50, CE51 and CE53 are developed.
Autonomous troubleshooting and / or exercises	Students will solve independently the problems posed by the teacher in the laboratory. The solutions and the doubts that arise in addressing these problems will be put together to agree the best way of resolution. Through this methodology the competencies CE50, CE51, CE53, CG6 and CG14 are developed.
Case studies / analysis of situations	Putting in common of the designs proposed by the students to solve the project to be carried out during the second part of the course. The comparison of the different proposals will serve to select the best options and as a feedback, if appropriate, to improve the designs. Through this methodology the competencies CE51 and CE52 are developed.
Projects	The students will implement the software system proposed by the teacher during the second part of the course, combining work in the laboratory supervised by the teacher with work out of the laboratory. Through this methodology the competencies CE50, CE53, CG6 and CG14 are developed.

### Personalized attention

Methodologies	Description
Troubleshooting and / or exercises	Revision and comments of solved exercises. Glossary of frequent errors to avoid. Recommendations of style and organization.
Projects	Beside commenting with the group, different alternatives, recommendations and strategies for the good realization of the project, we examine with group members the level of understanding of the project, particular doubts that can arise, errors of design and code and options of improvement.
Autonomous troubleshooting and / or exercises	Review and comments with each group of the diverse practise proposed during his development phase. Help for compilation and execution errors. Detection and solution of conceptual errors.
Case studies / analysis of situations	Review and general critic of the UML design UML of each group during his development.

### Assessment

	Description	Qualification	Training and Learning Results
Projects	<p>Students, organised in groups of two, will deliver the proposed software project at most the first school day after Christmas. This will consist of his final design (UML diagrams ), the code and the documentation generated explanatory of the implementation. That the code delivered can be compiled and executed in the laboratory computers will be necessary condition to surpass this proof of evaluation.</p> <p>During the last week of the course, students will have an interview with the professor in the schedule of the laboratory, devoted to show the authorship of the project and realise diverse proofs of functionality. Both members of the group must attend the interview. The issues raised therein will have to be answered individually to be able to ascertain the degree of understanding and implication of the student in the project developed, owing each student identify the parts of the project that has implemented. The adequate answers will be used to establish, together with a group of tests of functionality and the analysis of the quality of the code, the individual cualification of each student.</p> <p>In case that a student do not accredit properly the authorship, the project will be considered not valid, and the students will be considered suspense in the corresponding announcement.</p> <p>For the students that accredit properly the authorship, the evaluation of the project will take into account so much the adequate answers in the authorship interview, like the correct functionality, like the quality of the code and the use of the technics of object oriented programming. The determination of the correct functionality will be realised by means of a group of around 50 tests on the software delivered.</p>	33	B6 C50 B14 C53

Case studies / analysis of situations	At the end of the 9 <sup>a</sup> week of the academic course the students, organised in groups of two, will deliver the UML design of a project software.  In lective hours the members of each group will do with the professor a brief authorship interview of this design, which together with the delivered design, will be used to establish the individual note of each student.	7	C51 C52
Troubleshooting and / or exercises	Written exam to be done individually in the date published in <a href="http://www.teleco.uvigo.es">www.teleco.uvigo.es</a> for this purpose, which will consist of the combination of the following types of questions: resolution of problems, short questions about the theoretical concepts explained in the master sessions, to justify reasonably if one or more statements are true or false, small tests about theoretical and application aspects. The number and combination of these questions will be defined for each particular exam. Support materials (notes, books, collections of problems) are not allowed.	50	C50 C51 C53
Practical tests, real task execution and / or simulated.	At the end of the 7th week of the academic course the students, organized in pairs, will submit the Java initiation practices proposed in the laboratory.  In lective hours the members of each group will realise with the professor a brief authorship interview of the initiation practices, which together with a group of tests of correct operation on the software delivered, will be used to establish the individual note of each student.	10	C50 C51 C52 C53

### Other comments on the Evaluation

There are two modalities of evaluation of this subject: continuous evaluation (CE) and traditional evaluation (TE). The students will have to choose one of the two modalities taking into account the following conditions:

- The CE includes the 4 proofs described in the evaluation section.
- Whether they opt for the CE or for the TE, students must develop a project. To facilitate the choice between CE and TE, the specifications of the project will be available in Fatic the 4th week of the academic course.
- In the TE the project will be carried out individually.
- The students that choose the CE will submit at the end of the 9th week of the academic course the UML design of the project (corresponding to the 2nd proof described in the evaluation section). By means of this submission the students agree to follow the CE and reject the TE. From this moment these students may not appear as if they have not taken the subject.
- The students that do not submit the UML design of the project in the stipulated date reject the CE, so that they will be evaluated by means of the modality of TE. It is not possible to join the CE in the following intermediate proofs.
- The proofs of CE are not recoverable in any case, and they can not be repeated outside the dates stipulated by the teachers.
- Marks (of proofs of CE or practical projects or exams) are not saved from one course to another.

**First announcement. Students that opt for the CE.** They will be evaluated as follows:

□ Theoretical part:

- Written exam (50%). Individual exam. It corresponds to the 3rd proof described in the evaluation section. The mark of this exam only will be saved for the second announcement if it is equal or higher than 4.5 over 5.

□ Practical part:

- Practices of initiation in Java (10%). To be done in pairs. It corresponds to the 4th proof described in the evaluation section.
- Project (40%). To be done in pairs. It is divided in two parts:
  1. Design (7%). It corresponds to the 2nd proof described in the evaluation section.
  2. Implementation (33%). It corresponds to the 1st proof described in the evaluation section.

The requirements to pass will be:

- A minimum of 1/3 of the total in the theoretical part.

- A minimum of 1/3 of the total in the part of implementation of the project (or 1/3 of the total of the practical exam according to the case).
- A total mark (sum of the 4 proofs) equal or higher than 5.
- If the total mark is equal or higher than 5 but the minimum in some part has not been reached, the final mark will be 4.5 points (failure).

**First announcement. Students that opt for the TE.** They will be evaluated as follows:

□ Theoretical part:

- Written exam (50%). Individual exam. It corresponds to the 3rd proof described in the evaluation section. The mark of this exam only will be saved for the second announcement if it is equal or higher than 4.5 over 5.

□ Practical part:

- Individual realization of a software project that will suppose the remaining 50% of the final mark. This project will consist of the design (UML diagrams), the Java code and the generated documentation about the implementation details. The evaluation will take into account correct design, correct functionality, quality of the code and use of techniques of OOP. It must be submitted before the first school day after Christmas holidays.

- Realization of an interview with the teacher with the aim of proving the authorship of the project. This interview will take place in the laboratory hours during the last week of the course. If the student does not accredit properly the authorship it will not surpass the announcement, and will have to do the corresponding project to the second announcement.

□ The requirements to approve will be:

- A minimum of 1/3 of the total in the theoretical part.
- A minimum of 1/3 of the total in the part of the project (or 1/3 of the total of the practical exam according to the case).
- A total mark (sum of the 2 proofs) equal or higher than 5.
- If the total mark is equal or higher than 5 but the minimum in some part has not been reached, the final mark will be 4.5 points (failure).

**Second announcement.** The students will be evaluated as follows:

□ Theoretical part:

- Written exam (50%). Individual exam. It corresponds to the 3rd proof described in the evaluation section. The mark of this exam will not be never saved for others convocatories.

□ Practical part:

It will depend on whether the student has delivered or not the project in the first call. For the students that have followed the CE in the first call, it will be considered that a student has delivered the project when, as least, he/she has submitted an UML design in which he/she has obtained a mark equal or higher than 0.4 of 0.7.

- The students that do not deliver the project in the first announcement or that have not surpassed the authorship interview, will have to do necessarily the extended project of the second announcement. In any case lose the notes of the parts of initiation in Java and UML design if they opted for the CE in the first announcement, that is to say, will be evaluated on 5.

- The practical part to be done for the students that deliver the project in the first call will depend on the mark obtained in the project in that call, as follows:

- *Mark  $\geq 1.5$  with CE or Mark  $\geq 2.5$  with TE.* They will keep the mark. However, they will be able to improve the mark of the project delivering a new version of the one of the first call together with the new functionalities to be done, that will be published in Fatic. In the same way, they will deliver a document that addresses the changes and updates in the project from the version delivered in the first call.
- *Mark between 1 and 1.5 by CE or Mark between  $5/3 < 2.5$  with TE.* Must do necessarily the extended project of the second announcement. They will not keep the mark of the project of the first call, but they will keep the marks of the parts of initiation in Java and UML design if they have opted for the CE in the first call.
- *Mark  $< 1$  with CE or Mark  $< 5/3$  by TE.* Must do necessarily the extended project of the second announcement. They will not keep the marks of the parts of initiation in Java and UML design if they have opted for the CE in the first call,

that is, they will be evaluated on 5.

□ Requirements to pass. The requirements to pass will be:

- A minimum of 1/3 of the total in the theoretical part.
- A minimum of 1/3 of the total of the project without taking into account the marks of the parts of initiation in Java and UML design if they have opted for the CE in the first call (or 1/3 of the total of the practical exam according to the case).
- A total mark (sum of all the proofs) equal or higher than 5.
- If the total mark is equal or higher than 5 but the minimum in some part has not been reached, the final mark will be 4.5 points (failure).

---

## Sources of information

### Basic Bibliography

W. Savitch, **Absolute Java**, 4ª edición, Pearson, 2010

Y. D. Liang, **Introduction to Java programming**, 8ª, Pearson, 2010

P. Deitel, H. Deitel, **Java: How to program**, 9ª, Pearson, 2011

### Complementary Bibliography

B. Eckel, **Thinking in Java**, 4ª edición, Prentice-Hall, 2006

P. Niemeyer, D. Leuck, **Learning Java**, 4ª edición, O'Reilly., 2013

Oracle, **Java SE. Oracle**,

Oracle, **Java API Specifications**, 2016

G. Booch, J. Rumbaugh, I. Jacobson, **The Unified Modeling Language User Guide**, 2, Addison-Wesley., 2005

S. Zakhour, S. Hommel, J. Royal, I. Rabinovitch, T. Risser, M. Hoerber, **The Java Tutorial. A short course on the basics**, 4ª edición, Prentice-Hall, 2006

A. Eberhart, S. Fischer, **Java Tools**, Wiley, 2002

M. Page-Jones, □ **Fundamentals of object-oriented design in UML**, Addison-Wesley, 2002

M. Fowler, **UML Distilled: A Brief Guide to the Standard Object Modeling Language**, 3ª edición, Addison-Wesley., 2003

Jean-Michel DOUDOUX, **Développons en Java 2.10**, 2016

---

## Recommendations

### Subjects that it is recommended to have taken before

Programming I/V05G300V01205